


NATO CONCEPT DECISIONS



**DECISIONS OF MASSACRE,
EXPLOITATION, OCCUPATION AND
SUBMISSION AGAINST
THE PEOPLE.**

Introduction

We live in a time when every kind of attack for massacres, exploitation, occupations, submission, and the occupation of minds continues at full speed. Our world has witnessed two world wars of division. According to estimates, there have been 14,513 wars throughout human history. It is thought that three billion 640 million people have died in these wars.

History is the struggle of classes. In the struggle of classes, wars have always existed and will continue to exist, until imperialism is defeated and a classless, exploitation-free world is established.

The war continues today as well. The current parties to this war are the bourgeoisie, the ruling class, and the working class, which is the oppressed class. This relentless war, which continues between imperialism, the political, military, and economic organization of the bourgeoisie and the people's bloc led by the working class, is waged with various tools and methods in the military, ideological, cultural, and economic spheres.

When one speaks of imperialism, what comes to the minds of the peoples of the world is American imperialism. This is natural, for since the Second Imperialist Repartition War, the United States has led the imperialist bloc; for the last century of the U.S. has been a history marked by imperialist wars, invasions, massacres, plunder, and exploitation.

Today, imperialism continues its exploitation by bringing the peoples of the world under military, political, and ideological domination. **It attacks the peoples of the world with its advanced military technologies, heavy weapons, bombs, aircraft, and military bases spread all over the world, acting as the world's gendarme.** Of course, it does not attack only with these, not only with military tools and methods. It attacks with all its ideological, political, and diplomatic tools.

It controls states and governments, bringing those who do not cooperate into line through coups and threats. By intensifying its ideological attacks, it seeks to take the minds of the peoples captive.

Imperialism Wants to Rule the Minds of the People in Order to Subjugate Them!

In order to hinder the struggles of the peoples and to intimidate and keep them within the system, imperialism resorts to many political methods alongside military ones. Among these are international treaties, bilateral agreements, concept documents, terror lists, and many other instruments. It seeks to subjugate the peoples by supporting these with the policies it implements through massive media monopolies that distort consciousness and capture minds.

The essence of imperialism's policy of taking minds captive, which aims to create minds suitable for the system, consists of bringing people ideologically under its hegemony, directing their thoughts, and corrupting them culturally.

The purpose of ideological and cultural direction is to make the exploitative system appear legitimate and unchangeable, to make people believe that the source of problems lies not in the system but in individuals, in this or that group or party, and to render people faithless toward struggle, organization, and change. **To achieve this, they spare no expense, allocating enormous budgets and making investments worth billions of dollars. They use all ideological propaganda tools. To create the type of person they desire and to divert the masses' attention in the directions they wish, they attack the consciousness of the masses with every kind of ideological and cultural weapon.**

The main target of this attack is youth, the most dynamic segment of the people, most open to change and transformation. They use every possible path, method, and value to alienate youth, who are in the transition period between childhood and adulthood, from their class and their people's culture and values, and to turn them into unthinking, unquestioning, apolitical, consumerist masses. For this reason, they impose Fulbright agreements starting from the education period to capture the youth. They use almost every branch of art, from cinema to theatre, from music to dance, to literature.

They use sports that encourage competitiveness, fanaticism, and violence. They use every field of the media, from newspapers to magazines, from radio to television. They use the internet and social media. They use alcohol, prostitution, gambling, and selfishness. They use fashion and sexuality at the level of perversion. Above all, they use poverty and ignorance. In the implementation of all these alienation and corruption policies and in imposing the imperialist, degenerate culture upon the people, they also use the collaborationist oligarchic states and carry out their attacks through them.

As imperialism conquers minds, the imperialist ideology penetrates every fold of those minds. It distances the peoples from their positive values and characteristics, leading them into social decay. It can be said that the real power of imperialism, in addition to its military power, comes from its success in capturing minds ideologically. In this context, the policy of corruption is an important part of imperialism's assault to subjugate peoples. Through corruption policies, it weakens the peoples' unity, solidarity, their traditions of struggle against injustice, exploitation, oppression, and occupation, and their moral and cultural values, rendering the peoples powerless.

The assaults of imperialism against the peoples of the world differ from period to period. In some periods, physical attacks come to the fore; in others, ideological and psychological attacks take precedence. However, all of imperialism's assaults have one purpose: to ensure its exploitation becomes permanent by achieving ideological victory over the peoples of the world and revolutionary organizations. Among these assaults, the most decisive in obtaining results are the ideological ones. The ideological assaults of imperialism against the peoples of the world have continued unceasingly and without losing intensity from the Second Imperialist Repartition War to the present day. With the retreat of socialism through revisionism, organizations lacking ideological clarity and independence were affected even more by these assaults. They entered the path of compromise and submission to imperialism. Of course, one must include in this group the petty-bourgeois nationalist organizations that once conducted national struggles under the influence of socialism.

Dursun Karataş summarized the war against imperialism briefly as follows:

“To fight against imperialism, and to stand beside the peoples of the world by opposing imperialist terror wherever it may be, are the pages of honour of our country's revolutionary movement. We have given hundreds of martyrs for this cause. Today, those who try to do politics by compromising with imperialism are those who have turned their backs on this history and on the people. We will be a barricade in front of this stance against the people. The fact that imperialism includes our organization in its ‘terrorist’ lists every year and portrays it as dangerous is an honour for us. This is the legacy left to us by our martyrs.



We will carry this honour forward by intensifying the war against imperialism. Without continuing the war against imperialism, a Revolutionary People's Power cannot be established. Those who claim that it can be established are the ones who want to conceal imperialist domination and neo-colonialism under the guise of People's Power.

To stand against the imperialists' targeting of revolutionaries through the U.S. imperialist 'terrorist' lists, and their misleading of the world's peoples, and to expose the imperialist terrorists to all the peoples of the world, is the duty of everyone who claims to be against imperialism and fascism.

Against the terror that the imperialists inflict upon the peoples through the alliances they create, those who are against imperialism must also unite in various arenas, expose the terror of imperialism, and develop the war against it. To remain silent, not to oppose, means to give a green light to and reconcile with imperialism's 'terror' and 'terrorist' policies.

An independent organization, an independent country, a free people can only be achieved by breaking away from and fighting against all of imperialism's ideological, political, and cultural policies."

The leader of the Revolutionary People's Liberation Party-Front, Dursun Karataş, says that the imperialists form alliances and wage war against the peoples. We too will fight against these alliances together with the people, relying on our own strength.

THE UNITED WAR FORCE OF IMPERIALISM AGAINST THE PEOPLES: NATO



Among the alliances, the most prominent one is NATO, which was founded after the Second Imperialist Repartition War as an alliance of reactionary forces primarily against socialism, with the aim of preventing the socialist system from influencing the peoples of the world and stopping many countries from drawing closer to the socialist system.

The foundations of NATO were laid on March 17, 1948, with the Brussels Treaty, an alliance agreement among Belgium, the United Kingdom, France, the Netherlands, and Luxembourg though it did not yet bear the name NATO at that time. This union came about when the Western European states came together after the Red Army of the Soviet Union reached Berlin and defeated Hitler's fascism and the 22 Axis powers supported by imperialism. Yet for this defence alliance to become a power against the socialist states and to establish military and political authority, the support of U.S. imperialism was essential. For this reason, on April 4, 1949, the North Atlantic Treaty was signed in Washington, D.C., the capital of the United States and NATO was established. The peoples of the world's main enemy, the United States, had now officially founded its first alliance after the Second Imperialist Repartition War and had declared its plans and strategies of exploitation and massacre.

NATO (the North Atlantic Treaty Organization) was officially declared and founded on April 4, 1949, with twelve countries. Who were these twelve countries? The U.S., Belgium, France, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, Italy, Iceland, Canada, Luxembourg, Denmark, Norway, and Portugal.

In 1952, Turkey and Greece joined the alliance; in 1954, the Federal Republic of Germany; and in 1982, Spain. **Turkey's admission to NATO came after it accepted to send soldiers to Korea in order to defend the interests of U.S. imperialism. In return for being included in NATO, Turkey sent more than 5,000 soldiers to Korea and became complicit in the massacres against the Korean people. It paid the price for NATO membership by losing nearly a thousand soldiers.**

Turkey was taken into NATO because it was the gateway to the Middle East countries influenced by socialism and increasingly coming under the sway of the socialist system, and Greece was the gateway to the Balkans influenced by socialism.

Spain, having emerged from a civil war between 1937 and 1939, carried revolutionary dynamics and was a gateway to Africa; for that reason, it too was included in NATO.

This period, which imperialism called the “Cold War period,” was in essence the beginning of imperialism’s war against the peoples. The reason imperialism, fearful that the socialist system would become a source of hope for the peoples of the world, called this war it waged against the peoples and in which it assigned NATO significant missions the “Cold War” was precisely that. Therefore, we find it more appropriate to use the expression “the war of imperialism against the peoples” instead of the concept of the “Cold War.” What we will explain in more detail below will make clearer why U.S. imperialism used the concept of the Cold War.

The year is 1991. The Soviet Union has collapsed through **the hand of revisionism, through the betrayal of revisionism.** After the collapse of the Soviet Union, as before NATO, a new field of exploitation was opened, and NATO expanded its exploitation.

Look at who became NATO’s new members eight years after the fall of the Soviets.

After the USSR WAS DESTROYED THROUGH REVISIONISTS, even though NATO’S FOUNDING PURPOSE HAD DISAPPEARED, NATO’S AGGRESSION continued with the participation of new members. In 1999, Poland, Hungary, and the Czech Republic were included in NATO. In 2004, seven countries joined NATO: Bulgaria, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Romania, Slovakia, and Slovenia. In 2009, Albania and Croatia; in 2017, Montenegro; on March 27, 2020, North Macedonia joined NATO, and the number of NATO member states rose to 30. And most recently, Finland, which shares a 1,500–kilometer border with Russia, became a member of NATO in 2023.

Let us carefully look at which countries joined from 1999 to 2020, that is, over the last 20 years. All these countries share a common feature: they are all former Soviet Union countries or countries once known as part of Socialist Yugoslavia.

Imperialism occupies and exploits these former Soviet or socialist bloc countries both out of fear that socialism might re-emerge there and to reinforce the appearance of victory over socialism. Because the peoples of these countries know what independence is, what justice is, what equality is, what sharing is; they are peoples who have experienced basic rights, from housing to transportation, and social, political, economic, and cultural independence. **THEREFORE, THESE PEOPLES HAD TO BE THE FIRST TO BE SUBDUED IDEOLOGICALLY, POLITICALLY, MILITARILY AND ECONOMICALLY.**

It can be said that after the 1990s, imperialism took advantage of the disintegration of the USSR and the regression of governments in individual countries, made those countries NATO members, turned each into a NATO base, and economically exploited them to the bone.

These countries, which were once socialist, have now become the new colonies of imperialism.

Today, that is, after the 1990s, the most important feature that distinguishes the current third crisis period from the previous two crisis periods is that the contradictions and conflicts among the imperialist countries must be resolved without leading to a world war. But this does not mean that wars have ended in the world. What is happening is this: in this period, the imperialists have continued their reckonings not by directly fighting each other but through regional wars. Because, as Lenin stated, the wars of division caused by the previous two crisis periods had led to revolutions.

As a result of revolutions, imperialism lost one-sixth of the world markets after the First Imperialist Repartition War (1914–1918), and one-third after the Second Imperialist Partition War (1939–1945). After the First Imperialist Repartition War, the socialist USSR had emerged.

After the Second Partitioning War, the imperialists suffered even greater losses. The people's democracies established in Eastern European countries liberated from fascism by the Red Army and partisan wars, and the 1949 Chinese Revolution, had seriously weakened imperialism's domination over the world.

Meanwhile, the imperialists, having been forced to focus on Europe and the Pacific during the war, became unable to prevent the national and social liberation wars that were developing and rapidly spreading in the colonies. The existence of socialist countries and the rise of national liberation struggles led to the formation of new global power balances.

During this period, resolving contradictions among the imperialists on a military level became difficult. This situation caused a change in relations among the imperialists. They would no longer go to war with each other, but they still had to resolve their increasing contradictions somehow. Therefore, they were compelled to come to an agreement.

The leader of this agreement was the main enemy of the peoples of the world: U.S. imperialism.

The NATO Concept Decisions were implemented after the founding of NATO following the Second Imperialist Repartition War. **Before and after these NATO Concept Decisions, there were also doctrines of U.S. imperialism: the Truman Doctrine, the Eisenhower Doctrine, the Carter Doctrine, the Reagan Doctrine, the Kennedy Doctrine, the Bush Doctrine, under whose names occupations and massacres were conducted.**

The Truman Doctrine focused on preventing the spread of communism in Europe by assisting countries fighting against communism.

The Eisenhower Doctrine foresaw military and economic aid to nations fighting against communism in the Middle East and aimed to increase trade volume between the U.S. and Latin America.

The Kennedy Doctrine, built on these same basic principles, aimed primarily to prevent the spread of communism and Soviet influence in Latin America after the Cuban Revolution that took place under the leadership of Fidel Castro during the Eisenhower administration in the 1950s.

In other words, U.S. imperialism exploits the peoples through its doctrines and concept decisions.

Is the war between NATO and the peoples of the world being conducted officially through summits?

From 1949 to today, NATO has held a total of 36 Summits, thereby making its exploitation policies programmed and official.

This has only one meaning: NATO is an institution of U.S. imperialism and is the name for the institutionalisation of dominating the world.

Today, 32 countries, on behalf of U.S. imperialism, hold Summits as the place where they formalize and declare decisions on arms sales, legal bullying, open occupation, coups, economic plunder, exploitation, etc.

Of course, to put it briefly and concisely: NATO is an imperialist institution that, under U.S. hegemony, is the enemy of the peoples of the world, organising massacres that spill the blood of the peoples, organizing exploitation, and organizing occupations.

In other words, NATO is what declares countries that are not in the interest of the U.S. to be enemies or occupies them.

Today, NATO is the institution that threatens all countries, puts them under pressure, and, under the name of sanctions, legally subjugates them to U.S. imperialism.

The concepts have been designed and planned accordingly and have been implemented up to today.



For the political policies and strategies of the NATO Council, the reports of five committees are followed. With the relevant reports, they provide valuable information about the coordination between NATO's member countries and existing governance structures, and about the acceleration and nature of the policies that need to be conducted. **The five committees to which NATO gives importance in policy decisions are:**

★ Committee on the Civil Dimension of Security,

★ Defence and Security Committee,

★ Economics and Security Committee,

★ Political Committee,

★ Science and Technology Committee.



BEFORE COMING TO THE CONCEPT DECISIONS, WE SAID THAT NATO MEANS U.S. IMPERIALISM. WHY?

When American imperialism wants to attack a country, it tries five basic methods:
These five basic methods are:

- 1—TO START A CIVIL WAR IN THE TARGET COUNTRIES BY USING GLADIO (COUNTER-GUERRILLA), RELIGIONIST, NATIONALIST ORGANISATIONS,
- 2—TO ATTACK BY A DECISION OF THE UNITED NATIONS SECURITY COUNCIL (UNSC),
- 3—TO ATTACK BY A NATO DECISION,
- 4—TO ATTACK TOGETHER WITH “COALITION” ALLIES,
- 5—TO ATTACK ALONE.

On the agenda of the imperialist policy that U.S. imperialism called the Greater Middle East Project (BOP) was changing the governments of 22 countries and eliminating dozens of resistance organizations.

On the agenda of U.S. imperialism was to divide Yugoslavia into 8 parts and rule it.
On the agenda of U.S. imperialism was to exploit the countries of South America and seize power by carrying out coups with local collaborators.

The U.S. used Turkish territory in the war against Iraq. The collaborationist AKP (ruling Justice and Development Party), as a co-chair of the Greater Middle East Project functioned as the subcontractor of American policies, primarily against Iran and Syria. In the name of America, it threatens these countries. Imperialism, the architect of all the bloody wars in the Middle East, the chief culprit of setting peoples against one another and breaking countries apart, is the U.S. In Iraq, Palestine, Lebanon, and in the most recent period in Egypt, Tunisia, Algeria, Libya, and Syria, all the “civil wars and uprisings” that have taken place are the work of imperialism.

From the start of the embargo in Iraq to the year 2011, more than 1 million people died. From March 2011, when the war in Syria began, to today, the number of people who have died is over 306 thousand. And in these countries, blood continues to be shed. The sole culprits of this blood are the imperialists and their collaborators.

It is U.S. imperialism that massacred the people in South America by conducting coups.

U.S. imperialism, together with dozens of collaborationist generals and soldiers, carried out Condor operations and killed thousands of people.


In Argentina alone, it tortured and murdered 30 thousand people, making them disappear by throwing them from planes into the oceans.

It is U.S. imperialism that turned South America into a bloodbath, doing so by decrees and concepts.

Today, "THE 21ST CENTURY WILL BE THE CENTURY OF UPRISINGS."

IT IS NOT WE WHO SAY THIS, IT IS IMPERIALISM, WHICH FEARS THE PEOPLES, WHO SAYS IT.

Imperialists want to dominate the entire world. This is the character of imperialism. They want to seize all the world's markets and all the world's wealth and to exploit all the peoples of the world. They continue this exploitation ferociously. No matter how much it is tried to be concealed, the reality of imperialism stands naked in all its starkness. By saying that the "Cold War" ended with the dissolution of the Soviet Union, an attempt was made to legitimize imperialist exploitation. Aggression against the peoples was amplified. The imperialist assault organization NATO was further strengthened. In our "unipolar" world, the imperialists who expected submission to the "New World Order," to an "empire," did not find what they had hoped for. They found peoples resisting in front of them. Wherever there are imperialist exploitation, oppression, and repression, there is also resistance and revolt. Nowhere in the world is there submission to imperialism and its collaborators. The oppressed peoples, one way or another, are resisting.



At the beginning of the 1990s, while NATO was declaring at its 1990 London Summit that the “Cold War” had ended, plans were being made for how NATO would subdue the countries in which counterrevolutions had occurred, and how the points of resistance against imperialism in the Middle East, the Balkans, and the Caucasus would be liquidated. The owners of the discourses “Socialism is dead... farewell to the proletariat” were developing policies to implement NATO’s decision, taken in the late 1980s, of “either a change of thought or death.” And finally, at NATO’s meeting in October 1997 entitled “NATO and Security in the 21st Century, A Real Vision,” it was determined that “the 21st century will be the century of uprisings,” and revolutionary organizations were shown as the greatest danger.

That socialism has not died and will not die, that the proletariat will not leave the stage of history before completing its historical task, was a reality well known to the bourgeoisie. The bourgeoisie was aware that if it did not take this reality into account, it would lose its power quickly. The imperialists are well aware of their crimes and their responsibilities. They are also aware of the resistance of the oppressed peoples.

This very reality made the imperialists confess that the 21st century will be the century of uprisings. This confession, this reality, was also an open confession that socialism has not died and that it is the only alternative to the imperialist–capitalist order. Therefore, the greatest danger for the imperialists is the Marxist–Leninist movements.

THE FEAR OF SOCIALISM OF IMPERIALISM HAS NEVER ENDED!

Because the exploitation and oppression by the imperialist monopolies has not diminished—on the contrary, it has deepened. And this has deepened the contradictions between imperialism and the peoples. These contradictions can at any moment turn into uprisings and revolution through the organization of the peoples around a leadership. The fact that NATO is still continuing to expand is a result of imperialism’s fear of popular uprisings and revolutions.

BASED ON THIS REALITY, IMPERIALISM IMPLEMENTS POLICIES AND DOES SO THROUGH CONCEPT DECISIONS.


NATO's Vilnius 2023 opening speech begins exactly as follows: NATO is a defence Alliance.

“It is a unique, fundamental, and indispensable transatlantic forum to consult, coordinate, and act on all matters related to our individual and collective security. Pursuant to Article 5 of the Washington Treaty, we once again affirm our unwavering determination to always defend one another and every inch of Allied territory, to protect our one billion citizens, and to preserve our freedom and our democracy.

IN ORDER TO FULFILL NATO'S THREE CORE TASKS OF DETERRENCE AND DEFENCE, CRISIS PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT, AND COOPERATIVE SECURITY, WITH A 360-DEGREE APPROACH, WE WILL CONTINUE TO ENSURE OUR COLLECTIVE DEFENCE AGAINST ALL THREATS, WHEREVER THEY MAY COME FROM.

We are committed to international law and to the purposes and principles of the United Nations Charter, and we are determined to uphold the rules-based international order. This Summit constitutes a turning point in the strengthening of our Alliance.”

NATO IS NOT A DEFENCE ALLIANCE; IT IS AN OFFENSIVE ALLIANCE.



AND THEY CONDUCT THEIR ATTACKS THROUGH CONCEPT DECISIONS.

What does a concept decision mean?

We may also see it as the draft, outlook, approach, and understanding made in order to implement their policies in the political, social, economic, military, and cultural fields.

Imperialism puts a draft, an outlook, an understanding or approach before the world, saying, "This is our thought, and everyone must comply with it," and issues decrees.

There is no article in it that is in the interest of the people; it is an understanding entirely in the interests of imperialism.

The U.S. thus decreed, issued the **NATO Decree**, and everyone will comply, why?

U.S. Imperialism maintains its dominion by indebting all countries or by making them dependent on itself in trade and economically.

In 2016, the United States covered 72% of NATO's annual defence spending budget.

While the annual budget in 2016 was 921.5 billion dollars, 664 billion dollars of this was provided by U.S. imperialism.

In 2023, NATO's annual defence expenditures are 1.038145 trillion dollars, of which 731.879 billion dollars are covered by U.S. imperialism.

And this explains a lot of things in the capitalist system; as our people say, whoever pays the piper calls the tune.

What exactly should Security Strategies and NATO mean to us?

What should we understand from imperialism's Security, Strategies, and Concepts?

Founded out of the need for a security structure that would include the U.S. in the face of the Soviet threat after the Second Imperialist Repartition War, and continuing to exist to this day through various transformations as a military alliance, NATO's understanding of security and the security strategies it developed accordingly has also transformed over time.

Strategies are the set of long-term policies and objectives developed to ensure the security of the imperialist countries. Since changes in the security environment of the international system also change the security needs of imperialism, the imperialists must develop new strategies to adapt to the changing security environment and meet their needs. Alliances, in this context, must also update their understanding of security in order to exist in the new security environment and develop strategies accordingly. Within this framework, NATO has changed and developed its strategies to meet different security needs that have arisen in different periods. In this context, for NATO, each has shown a different development within itself.

The way the imperialists divide the periods can be identified as three main transformation periods:

- the Socialist (Cold War) period,
- the post-Socialism (post-Cold War) period,
- and the post-September 11 period.



Imperialism's Cold War (Socialism) Period War

In the two-polar security environment of NATO's Socialist (Cold War) period, its basic strategy focused on defence and deterrence against state-centred threats.

On this basis, strategies were determined to suit the new conditions that emerged in the international system, and four strategic concepts were published.

From the day it was founded, NATO prepared various documents to determine its strategy; the first official strategic concept approved by the Council was published on January 6, 1950.

This document emphasized that NATO would primarily focus on the function of deterrence and that the use of force would only be in response to an attack.

However, the start of the Korean War in June 1950 revealed an additional security need, making NATO realize it had to strengthen its military structure. In this context, with the emergence of the need to establish a centrally commanded military force, in 1951 NATO's Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers Europe was established. The first Supreme Allied Commander Europe was Dwight Eisenhower.

Although "strategy" is thought of as a military term, FOR NATO OR IMPERIALISM IT IS NOT ONLY MILITARY, IN FACT, THE FIRST THING THAT COMES TO MIND IS NOT MILITARY AT ALL BUT RATHER THE EXPLOITATION POLICIES THEY PLAN, WHETHER BASED ON LAW OR INTERNATIONAL LAW, OR DISREGARDING LAW ALTOGETHER.

From a security perspective, in the simplest terms, strategy refers to actions and practices aimed at developing and maintaining one's own existence and neutralizing the other side. In international relations, strategy refers to the set of methods used during relations among imperialists within international politics. **This set of methods plays a particularly significant role in revealing the functionality of culture, often overlooked especially in security and defence studies, in other words, its ideological functionality.**

POST-COLD WAR (SOVIET) PERIOD NATO POLICIES

After the Warsaw Pact was dissolved in 1991, the biggest obstacle in front of NATO disappeared. The disintegration of this enemy necessitated new targets for the organization, new policies, and changes in tasks on the European continent. Among countries, this change began with efforts signed in Paris with the Soviets to ease military armament and deployment and continued after the dissolution of the Soviets in December 1991 with the Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe on the European continent. At that time NATO focused so much on military defence that military expenditures rose to 34%.

But by 2012 these military expenditures had fallen to 21%. After this period, NATO began allocating the time and budget that had passed with armed policies and military interventions to ideological assault. Of course, this does not mean there were no military expenditures; as we wrote at the beginning of our book, they have annual budgets allocating more than 1 trillion dollars to military spending.

After the Cold War period, NATO gained a major alliance victory with the unification of Germany. East Germany and West Germany finally merged. To prevent a possible Russian objection to this situation and East Germany, NATO offered the Russians a guarantee that no foreign troops and nuclear weapons would be deployed in the eastern region. Of course, that is not how it turned out; because imperialism is two-faced and immoral and murderous, it has no reliability whatsoever.

Therefore, it continued its enlargement policies. By making former Soviet and Balkan countries NATO members, it tried to overcome its fear of Socialism, but this fear still persists.



At the end of the Cold War period, NATO moved to reduce its military wing. Because in this “period without war,” the organization had to adapt to the conjuncture. In this context, existing military units were revised, and new forces were formed as the Allied Rapid Reaction Corps under Allied Command Europe.

After the Soviet Union dissolved, NATO undertook even greater changes and, with the 1999 Adapted Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe in Istanbul, strengthened cooperation.

In terms of inventory at the end of the Cold War period, NATO forces consisted of 16 member countries, a command structure of 78 headquarters across four echelons, and a total of 5,252,800 military personnel including 435,000 troops under U.S. control.

FOUR STRATEGIES IN THE WAR AGAINST SOCIALISM (Communism)

Since the end of the Cold War, namely the war against Socialism (Communism), NATO has adopted four strategic concepts.

First Strategic Concept: In 1991, it focused on the geopolitical change in the world order after the Soviet Union.

Second Strategic Concept: Addressed security threats to Europe in the form of micro-conflict dynamics in the Balkan countries (Bosnia and Herzegovina and Kosovo).

Third Strategic Concept: In 2010, it aimed to define NATO’s global area of interest by focusing on non-traditional threats beyond the Euro-Atlantic region (insurgency, terrorism, and organized crime groups).

Fourth Strategic Concept: In 2022, it signalled a geopolitical opening against both conventional (Russia, China) and non-conventional threats (terrorism, insurgency, and cyber warfare).

With the adoption of the 2022 Strategic Concept by NATO member states at the Madrid Summit, the following decisions supporting the new concept were also taken:

- ★ Defence on the Eastern Flank
- ★ Strengthening Deterrence Capacity,
- ★ Increasing Defence Investments by Alliance Members (Increase in the Number of Troops and Military Equipment),
- ★ Support to NATO Partner Countries (Ukraine, Georgia, Moldova, and Bosnia–Herzegovina),
- ★ Adapting NATO to Newly Emerging Threat Environments (Pacific Opening),
- ★ Enlargement of the Alliance (Sweden and Finland’s NATO Accession Process),
- ★ Developing Preventive Measures Against Threats on NATO’s Southern Flank (Terrorism, Food Crisis, Support to Tunisia and Mauritania).



ONLY A FEW OF NATO'S MILITARY OPERATIONS AND MASSACRES

During the Cold War, NATO never embarked on military operations. But after the dissolution of the Soviets and the end of the Cold War, the first operation was organized in the 1990–1991 period, with Iraq's occupation of Kuwait. Aircraft flew to the region over Turkey, and shortly thereafter troops were dispatched to the area.

Bosnia–Herzegovina Intervention:

The date was 1992; after the breakup of Yugoslavia, the Bosnian War began with the planning and hand of imperialism. When the situation in the region deteriorated, with a UN Security Council decision, Bosnia–Herzegovina adopted a no-fly zone in 1992 under Resolution 816. This no-fly zone began to be enforced on August 12, 1993. A series of economic and military embargo decisions over Yugoslavia were applied by sea with Operation Sharp Guard from June 1993 to October 1996. The consequences of violating these no-fly zones would be experienced very soon. In the no-fly zone, four Bosnian Serb aircraft were shot down by NATO on February 28, 1994.

In 1994, during the Bosnian War, for an air strike in the Gorazde safe area by the UN Protection Force, two U.S. F-16 jets under NATO bombed a Bosnian Serb military outpost in the Gorazde region. Immediately after this strike, as retaliation, on April 14, 150 UN personnel were taken hostage. On April 16, a British Sea Harrier flying over Gorazde was shot down by the Serbs. During the Srebrenica massacre, an intervention was initiated by NATO against the Serbs. Later, a two-week NATO bombing campaign (Operation Deliberate Force) was launched against the Serbs.

While the air strikes continued, after the signing of the Dayton Agreement on December 14, 1995, the Yugoslav War ended. Under the agreement, NATO directed the UN's IFOR peace forces to the region. Soldiers from 60,000 NATO troops and from non-allied countries joined these peacekeepers. After a while, these forces were halved and turned into smaller SFOR units of 32,000 troops. The region, which had been conducting this security mission since December 1996, handed it over to European Union Force Althea in December 2004.

The results of the war were that tens of thousands of people were massacred. WOMEN WERE RAPED BY NATO SOLDIERS. Hundreds of thousands of people were forcibly displaced. Massacres, rapes, and migrations were the program of imperialism.

NATO's Kosovo Intervention:

To protect the Albanian civilians in Kosovo from the Serbian attacks carried out by Slobodan Milosevic, despite the UN Security Council calling for peace on September 23, 1998, the UN Special Envoy Richard Holbrooke appeared to be trying to continue negotiations, but the truth was that imperialism had organized this civil war; on March 23, 1999, under the pretext that the negotiation process had reached an impasse, war was officially declared. Just one day later, on March 24, 1999, a bombardment began that would last 78 days. At the same time, a ground offensive conducted by allies was launched directly against Yugoslav forces. In this crisis environment, NATO established the Allied Command Europe Mobile Force deployed in Albania and a unit known as the Albania Force (AFOR).

The KFOR UN Peacekeeping Force was established in obedience to UN Security Council Resolution 1244, and subsequently under NATO's judgment. In addition to protecting Kosovo in this area, the Peacekeeping Force would also do its utmost to ensure that the region received humanitarian aid.



Moreover, the alliance launched Operation Essential Harvest in 2001 to disarm Albanian militias in Macedonia. As of early December 2013, 4,882 KFOR soldiers from 31 countries were serving in the region.

These operations meant using NATO's operational power in line with the U.S.'s own interests—and that was indeed the reality. After the Cold War, in April 1999, NATO adopted the Alliance's Strategic Concept at the Washington Summit to prevent conflicts within its internal dynamics for an independent structure.

NATO's Afghanistan Intervention:

Al-Qaeda, based in Afghanistan, was deemed as the instigator of the September 11 attacks in the U.S. This situation enabled the application of Article 5 under the North Atlantic Treaty for the first time. Because that article meant that an attack on any NATO member state would be considered an attack on all members. The exception, however, applied to territories north of the Tropic of Cancer. About a month after the attack on the U.S., on October 4, 2001, NATO decided to invoke Article 5. In addition to this planned military intervention, among the eight operations conducted by NATO were Operation Eagle Assist and, especially under the pretext of counterterrorism, Operation Active Endeavour, an effort to prevent the transport of weapons of mass destruction.

To strengthen its military character, on April 16, 2003, NATO brought under its control the International Security Assistance Force (ISAF), formed from the forces of 42 countries. This decision was implemented upon a proposal at that time by Germany and the Netherlands, which had the most troops in this force, and with the approval of 19 NATO ambassadors. With control given to NATO, for the first time in its history NATO undertook the mission of conducting an operation outside the North Atlantic area. ISAF initially tried to keep the Taliban, Al-Qaeda, and similar jihadist organizations that continued their actions in Kabul and its surroundings away from the region by fighting them; the reason was to buy time for the newly to be established Afghan Transitional Administration under Hamid Karzai. In October 2003, with a decision by the UN Security Council, ISAF, which was conducting the Afghanistan mission, was authorized to expand these operations throughout the country. Thus, ISAF conducted its nationwide operations in Afghanistan in four phases.

However, on July 31, 2006, ISAF began to manage the counterterrorism operations under U.S. guidance for the preventive and blocking efforts it had undertaken in Afghanistan. But especially in the south of the region, clashes had become very intense. To remedy this, there was no objection to sending France's Mirage 2000 fighter jets to Kandahar. NATO was gradually getting tired of being a party to the war in Afghanistan. This effort to end the war resulted, at the 2012 Chicago Summit, in a decision for the ISAF forces under NATO command to end the Afghanistan war and vacate the country by the end of December 2014.

The U.S. in 2023 and NATO evacuated the country, leaving it to Al-Qaeda and the Taliban, and exited Afghanistan. The aftermath of this long occupation was an Afghanistan plundered, an Afghan people massacred. Seeking to establish dominance in the Middle East under the pretext of terror, U.S. imperialism recognizes no rule, no law, no ethics of war, because, as the 2001 Concept decision says: if you are not with me, you are my enemy, therefore everything is permissible.

NATO's Iraq Training Mission:

NATO does not only wage military war against peoples. NATO launched a training mission in the country in 2004 to support the U.S. in the Iraq War, simultaneously with the MNF-I. The training mission was created at the request of the Iraqi Interim Government directed by UN Security Council Resolution 1546. The NATO Training Mission (NTM-I) is described by NATO as supporting Iraq until it both receives training, and its general institutions gain general standards in order to establish a regular and functioning system of government.

What is essential is to seize minds from childhood with the morality of imperialist education. This mission did not have a military nature. This mission was a political effort conducted by the organization within the scope of the North Atlantic Treaty. The training mission, which NATO ended on December 17, 2011, continues throughout the world both under the NTM-I upper echelon under the organization's command and under the name Deputy Commanding General Advising and Training under U.S. command.

NATO's Libya Intervention:

NATO's intervention in Libya took place with UN Security Council Resolution 1973, dated March 17, 2011. Forces formed from the soldiers and units of many NATO member states created a no-fly zone over Libya. In March 2011, the unified naval forces used the Standing NATO Maritime Group 1 and the Standing NATO Mine Countermeasures Group 1 ships under Operation Unified Protector. With this operation and the measures taken, an arms embargo was imposed on Libya. The primary mission of these units was to prevent the Libyan government from bringing in mercenaries and weapons.

On March 24, NATO decided to take over control in the no-fly zone; however, command of ground operations remained with the coalition forces. On March 27, 2011, with the support of the United Arab Emirates and Qatar, the UN decision began to be implemented. It would later be understood that this operation had not been approved by the Council with a large majority.

The U.S. again led this massacre. At the summit held in Brussels on June 10, U.S. Secretary of Defence Robert Gates underlined that the behaviour of allied countries in recent operations had shortened the organization's lifespan. But Germany also emphasized another point on this platform. Yes, Germany has actively taken the front line in many operations in line with NATO's stance and has supported them militarily.

In October 2011, Gaddafi was lynched and killed by imperialism's collaborators. After this death, NATO planes bombed the Libyan people 9,500 times. Following an attempted coup in 2013, the head of the Libyan government, Ali Zeidan, requested trainers from NATO at the technical and institutional level.

AFTER THE COLLAPSE OF THE SOVIET UNION AT THE HANDS OF REVISIONISM, WHEN WERE NATO'S CONCEPTS AGAINST THE PEOPLE FIRST ANNOUNCED OR MADE OFFICIAL

With the fall of the Berlin Wall and the dissolution of the Warsaw Pact and the Soviet Union by the hand of revisionism, a new period began for NATO. In this process, during which debates continued that NATO's main reason for existence had disappeared, it was announced at the July 1990 London Summit that the alliance's new strategic concept would be prepared.

In this period, defined for the alliance with dialogue and cooperation, the first strategic concept prepared was quite different from the concept that came before it.

NATO's new strategic concept announced at the 1991 Rome Summit emphasized the change in the security environment in Europe, and the concepts of cooperation and dialogue replaced the traditional conflict-focused discourse.

The **“New Strategic Concept”** first determined that the alliance was facing new security problems. Since Europe's division had ended, the possibility of a large-scale attack from a single centre had diminished and thus ceased to be the focus of the alliance's strategy. Instead, problems arising from instabilities caused by serious economic, social, and political contradictions, including ethnic conflicts and territorial disputes, came to the fore as the new security problems that the alliance faced (NATO, 1991). As also stated in the strategy, although relations for NATO had turned to cooperation, the Soviets' military capacity, including nuclear, continued to be the most crucial factor that the alliance had to consider.

As the tools to carry out the alliance's security policies in the new concept, the following were listed: maintaining sufficient military capacity to prevent war and for defence; achieving comprehensive capabilities to deal effectively with crises; and political initiatives based on a cooperative understanding that prioritizes dialogue (NATO, 1991). Within this framework, NATO would again possess both conventional and nuclear weapons, but this time nuclear weapons would only be resorted to as a “last resort.” **For any country outside NATO to possess nuclear weapons is considered a war crime. NATO, which is under the control and direction of U.S. imperialism, camouflages U.S. imperialism.**

After the new strategic concept was accepted, initiatives to immediately implement its requirements were launched without delay. **In this context, in 1991, the North Atlantic Cooperation Council (NACC) was established to conduct cooperation with non-member states in the Euro-Atlantic region;** in 1994, cooperation with former Warsaw Pact countries and the Soviet Union was initiated under the Partnership for Peace (PfP) program; in 1996, an enlargement program was implemented to admit new members to the alliance; and in 1997, the NATO–Russia Permanent Joint Council and the NATO–Ukraine Commission were established.

Upon this, **it was decided at the 1997 Madrid Summit to update the existing strategic concept. The new concept adopted at the 1999 Washington Summit, which was held in 1999, changed the previous strategy and, more accurately, developed it according to the requirements of the imperialists' new security environment.** The new concept first emphasized that a new Europe in which NATO plays a vital role was emerging and defined the alliance's geographic focus not as the North Atlantic but as a broader area, the Euro–Atlantic. In the content of the strategic concept (Articles 36 and 37), it was clearly stated that this Euro–Atlantic region encompassed all of Europe and North America, as well as Russia and Ukraine (NATO, 1999).

Another notable aspect of the 1999 strategic concept was that it used a much broader security approach in identifying the security problems the alliance faced in the post–Cold War security environment. In this context, political, economic, social, and environmental factors were emphasized; the problems the alliance was expected to combat were identified as risks that would arise from domestic political instabilities such as political pressure, human rights violations, religious and ethnic conflict, and economic problems; as well as migration and obstruction of access to vital resources; and the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, terrorism, and organized crime. In other words, imperialism throws its own creatures onto the people and portrays itself as the saviour. Yet the chief culprit of the reasons listed above is NATO.

To the alliance's traditional tasks of deterrence and defence and ensuring security by consultation and peaceful resolution of disputes, the 1999 strategic concept added supporting cooperation and dialogue through crisis management and partnerships as “the alliance's core tasks.”

WE ASK, NATO:

Whom does it want to deter from what?

Against whom is it defending itself?

With whom is it in solidarity?

When there is no compromise, with whom does it find a solution by peaceful means?

LET US ANSWER:

It is NATO that implements a policy of deterrence by carrying out massacres against the people!

It is NATO, the Terrorist Organization of imperialism that protects imperialism against the people!

It is NATO, the solidarity of imperialism among itself against the people!

It is NATO, the peaceful massacre through the ideological attacks of imperialism against the people!

After September 11

Since the 1999 strategic concept was adopted, attacks by imperialism on the peoples under the name of international security have increased and changed. The starting point of this attack and change were the attacks conducted on September 11, 2001, against the two towers and the Pentagon in the USA. With the military operation carried out against Afghanistan following the attack and NATO's involvement in this massacre, there were massacres; developments such as the U.S. invasion of Iraq in 2003 and the 2008 Russia–Georgia war led NATO to consider updating its strategy and to decide to resort to new concepts.

In this period, when terrorism and weapons of mass destruction came to the fore among international security problems, NATO first took its military structure into a serious internal reform and tried to ensure that a new security environment would be prepared for itself. In this context, at the 2002 Prague Summit it was decided to establish the Allied Command Transformation (ACT) and the NATO Response Force (NRF) in order to improve defence means and capabilities.

IMPERIALISM HAD NOW DECIDED TO INCREASE ITS MILITARY ATTACKS, AND ON TOP OF THIS IT MASSACRED MILLIONS OF PEOPLE IN THE MIDDLE EAST.

The new Strategic Concept was adopted at the 2006 Prague Summit under the name “Comprehensive Political Guidance.” With this document, which set the priorities for the coming 10 years in terms of capabilities, planning, and intelligence deemed necessary, NATO’s role was for the first time defined on a global scale. Elements such as international terrorism and the issue of weapons of mass destruction, as well as NATO’s global role, were reflected in the next strategic concept as well.

At the 2009 Strasbourg Summit, known as NATO’s 60th anniversary summit, it was accepted, with the “Declaration on Alliance Security,” that a new strategic concept would be prepared, and the short declaration became the precursor of the new strategic concept. **Within this framework, NATO’s latest strategic concept was adopted at the 2010 Lisbon Summit.** While sharing much of the same content on many issues with the 1999 strategic concept, the 2010 concept also has important differences from its predecessors: Nuclear and conventional deterrence again took place as the essence of NATO’s strategy; crisis management, included in the 1999 concept, was this time emphasized as the alliance’s second main task. Again, partnership, cooperation, and dialogue included in the 1999 strategy were reflected in **the 2010 concept under the heading of “cooperative security.”**

One of the main topics of NATO’s 2010 concept was explained thus in a document: Based on the understanding that, although the Euro–Atlantic region is now at peace, problems originating from other parts of the world constitute a security threat to the alliance, the alliance’s global role was underlined. In other words, NATO had to contribute to peace in other parts of the world for the security of the Euro–Atlantic region. Thus, with the 2010 concept, it can be said that NATO ceased to be an alliance focused only on the Euro–Atlantic.

Saying that the peoples in their own imperialist countries have been subdued, they try to subdue the peoples of other countries as well.

For those who do not submit, there is torture, prison, massacre, displacement, plunder, exploitation.

Imperialism says:

Imperialism says at the 2010 Lisbon NATO Summit:

The proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, terrorism, problems arising from instability, and arms, drug, and human trafficking were defined as direct threats to the security of the imperialists, and, as an issue not included in the previous concept, cyber threat was also mentioned. In the 2010 strategic concept, a section was devoted to reform and transformation. In this section, it was emphasized that there was a determination to increase the deployability of forces, ensure consistency in defence planning, jointly develop capabilities, use resources in the most effective way possible, and continue to improve through reform (NATO, 2010).

The people did not have weapons of mass destruction; as for terror, apart from state terror, there is no terror that peoples inflict on each other. Drugs, arms, human trafficking, prostitution, every kind of crime is conducted by imperialism and its collaborators.

In other words, it commits these acts itself, and then it adopts concept decisions for them and implements them against the peoples. The reason for implementation is to conceal its crimes against the peoples; there is no other name for this, nor can there be.

Within the scope of partnership and dialogue in the 2010 concept, partnership with Russia was also emphasized in the context of the positive contribution that the NATO–Russia partnership has made and will continue to make to common peace, security, and stability.

The international security environment continues to change rapidly. Not even ten years after the 2010 strategy, the NATO partnership with Russia was suspended, and when a new strategic concept was prepared, discussions began that Russia could be redefined as a threat to the alliance. The alliance is in constant reform and transformation in order to adapt to the rapidly changing international system. The alliance, which in the 1990s debated “out-of-area” in the context of the North Atlantic region, at its 70th anniversary summit is preparing to define cyberspace as a battlefield.

LET US TAKE A LOOK AT THE CONCEPTS OF IMPERIALISM THAT HAVE BEEN ANNOUNCED

The First Concept Announced to the Public (1991) Is the Post–Cold War Period:

It can be said that NATO's strategy between 1949 and 1991 was "defence" and "deterrence." The most important source of this strategy is the strategies followed in security, armament, and the development of nuclear weapons against the Soviet Union.

The Transformation of NATO's Strategic Culture in the Context of Strategic Concepts.

The fact that this concept was published for the first time clearly, without a classification level, reveals its difference from previous concepts. This is also an important indicator of the cultural change it has undertaken toward opening up to the outside world. In addition to not only military goals and practices but also including political and military objectives that encompass public diplomacy sets this concept apart as well.

In this concept, the comprehensive approach strategy, which adopts both military and political approaches to solving problems, is seen as the basis of NATO's security policy. In this framework, ensuring security and stability is closely related not only to military measures but also to political, economic, social, and cultural issues (The Alliance's New Strategic Concept, 1991). With this concept, there was a transition from a narrow understanding of defence to a comprehensive and broad understanding of defence. With the 1991 strategy, the North Atlantic Cooperation Council was established within NATO, and the Partnership for Peace program was developed, aiming to improve cooperation with countries outside member states that had previously been seen as enemies (Flockhart, 2014, p. 78).

Apart from military policies, under the name of peace, imperialism continued its exploitation policies against the states that broke away from the Soviet Union and organized ideological submission politically, socially, economically, and culturally.

The Second Concept Announced to the Public (1999 Strategic Concept)

The second strategic concept, approved at the Washington summit in 1999, NATO's 50th anniversary, is a revised version of the 1991 concept. This strategic concept is shaped around five basic security tasks:

A) SECURITY

B) CONSULTATION

C) DETERRENCE AND DEFENCE

D) CRISIS MANAGEMENT

E) PARTNERSHIP

On the five key issues above, imperialism aims to legitimize its oppression and exploitation of the peoples of the world. Within this concept, similar to the previous one, it is emphasized that NATO's fundamental task is to protect the security and freedom of member states by military means, while, in addition to the defence dimension, it organizes the occupation of minds politically, economically, and socially.

The 1999 strategic concept includes fundamental issues such as preserving the transatlantic link, military continuity and the establishment within the alliance of a **European Security and Defence Identity, conflict prevention and crisis management, partnership, cooperation and dialogue, enlargement, arms control, disarmament, and the prevention of the proliferation of nuclear weapons, they say; but the reality is that these are armament and offensive concepts against the peoples.**

With the 1991 strategy, the North Atlantic Cooperation Council was established within NATO, and the Partnership for Peace program was developed, which aimed to improve cooperation with countries outside member states that had previously been seen as enemies.

Another striking aspect of the 1999 concept is that the alliance is stated to be open to new memberships, with a covert call in this direction **especially to the countries that separated from the Soviet Union. Indeed, from April 24, 2009, the date on which the concept was signed, to the present day, a total of 11 countries has become NATO members.**

AT THE 32ND NATO SUMMIT, IT WAS ANNOUNCED THAT A NEW STRATEGIC CONCEPT HAD BEEN ADOPTED!

22 MILLION DOLLARS FROM THE USA TO AN LGBTI+ ASSOCIATION!

IN ARTICLE 5 OF NATO'S 2022 STRATEGIC CONCEPT DOCUMENT IT SAYS: "WE WILL CONTINUE TO ADVANCE SOCIAL GENDER AS A REFLECTION OF OUR VALUES"!

NATO LEFTISTS, NATO-ISTS WEARING LEFT MASKS, ARE ATTACKING ALL THE VALUES OF OUR PEOPLE!

WITH THE POWER OF NATO ARMIES THEY ARE IMPOSING LGBT!

NATO IS THE FINANCIER, THE MASTER OF LGBTI+!

THIS IS NOT "SEXUAL FREEDOM, SEXUAL PREFERENCE"; THIS IS DEGENERATION!

IMPERIALISM IS OCCUPATION, PLUNDER, AND MASSACRE!

IF IMPERIALISM DEGENERATES THE PEOPLE, NO ONE CAN MAKE POLITICAL DECISIONS IN THEIR OWN FAVOR, IN FAVOR OF THE PEOPLES.

IN THIS SITUATION, IT CANNOT BE AN OBSTACLE TO THE OCCUPATIONS, PLUNDER, AND MASSACRES OF IMPERIALISM. THIS IS THE GOAL!

THE MEASURE OF BEING LEFT IS TO BE ANTI-IMPERIALIST, ANTI-FASCIST.

BEING LEFT MEANS: STRUGGLING FOR INDEPENDENCE, BREAD, JUSTICE, HOUSING, EDUCATION, HEALTH, THE RIGHT TO WORK.

THE LGBTI+ DEFENDERS NOURISHED BY IMPERIALISM ARE THEREFORE NOT LEFT!

TO SPREAD LGBT, THE IMPERIALISTS ESPECIALLY USE THE COMPROMISING, SUBMISSIVE LEFT. WE WILL NOT ALLOW THE SUBMISSIVE, COLLABORATIONIST LEFT TO GROW THIS DECAY!

ISOLATION WAS A NATO DECISION!

FIRST DECISION: IN 1988, THE NATO ANTI-TERRORISM COMMITTEE'S "ISOLATION AND REHABILITATION OF THOSE WITH POLITICAL CONNECTIONS IN PRISONS."

SECOND DECISION: AT NATO'S OCTOBER 1997 MEETING TITLED "NATO AND SECURITY IN THE 21ST CENTURY, REAL VISION," THE DETERMINATION THAT "THE 21ST CENTURY WILL BE THE CENTURY OF UPRISINGS." WHILE IT WAS DECLARED AT THE LONDON SUMMIT THAT "THE COLD WAR HAS ENDED"

PLANS WERE BEING MADE ON HOW NATO WOULD SUBDUE COUNTRIES WHERE COUNTER-REVOLUTIONS HAD TAKEN PLACE AND HOW THE POINTS OF RESISTANCE AGAINST IMPERIALISM IN THE MIDDLE EAST, THE BALKANS, AND THE CAUCASUS WOULD BE LIQUIDATED.

LGBTI IS THE CONTINUATION OF THESE DECISIONS—WE DEFEATED THE ISOLATION OF IMPERIALISM WITH 122 MARTYRS IN HUNGER STRIKE AND DEATH FAST RESISTANCE AGAINST NEW TYPE OF PRISONS TO SURRENDER THE REVOLUTIONARIES AND THEIR BELIEFS; WE WILL ALSO DEFEAT ITS COMPROMISE, SUBMISSION, LIQUIDATION, AND DEGENERATION!

In all NATO member countries and in the new colonies of imperialism, the counter-guerrilla has been organized by NATO. Around the world, counter-guerrilla operatives and junta chiefs have been trained in NATO's counter-guerrilla centres to suppress revolutionary struggles.

The collaborationist commanders of the armies of the new colonies, police chiefs, and secret intelligence operatives have been trained in NATO's training centres.

To establish the American Empire, NATO expanded toward the disintegrating socialist countries, increasing its number of members from 14 to 31. The 2022 NATO strategic concept document shows that NATO, the terrorist organization that expanded to encircle Russia, has set its sights on expanding to the Far East as far as China.

At the 32nd NATO Summit, it was announced that a new strategic concept had been adopted. In summary, the decisions taken:

- The NATO Secretary General stated, “We must be prepared for a long war.”
- The Black Sea, the Baltics, the Balkans, and North Africa were declared strategic regions.
- Russia was accepted as the greatest threat.
- China was classified as a threat for the first time.
- It is stated that NATO will develop its ability to operate effectively in space and cyberspace. The U.S. had established a space force. NATO will establish hegemony over Space.
- In Article 5 of NATO's 2022 strategic concept document, it says, “We will continue to advance social gender as a reflection of our values.”

The conclusion from these decisions is this: NATO IS A TERRORIST ORGANIZATION THAT AIMS TO ENSURE THAT THE USA BECOMES AN EMPEROR WORLDWIDE AND IN SPACE. A decision taken in NATO, which is the subject of our article, is extremely noteworthy: in Article 5 of NATO's 2022 strategic concept document it says, "We will continue to advance social gender as a reflection of our values." One may ask what the phrase "social gender equality" is doing in the strategic concept document of NATO, an imperialism's military terrorist organization.

THEIR GOALS WITH LGBTI ARE:

1. To alienate the human being from their own essence, from their own biological partner. To alienate the human being from the reproduction of their own lineage.
2. Under the demagogy of sexual freedom, to degenerate the peoples of the world.
3. To attack all the values that the peoples of the world have created for 5,000 years.
4. To leave the peoples of the world unorganized, to rot them, and to detach them from struggle.
5. The weapon of People's War, which is "stronger than an atomic bomb," is the people themselves. To neutralize this weapon, the imperialists are deploying drugs, prostitution, gambling, theft, and all kinds of sexual perversions. NATO also deals specifically with this side of the war.

The USA and NATO directly give money to LGBTI+ people and personally take the lead. Through the rhetoric of "social gender equality," which has also entered the NATO concept document, the imperialists of the USA and the EU are trying to spread LGBTI+ in an organized manner throughout the world by allocating special funds.

Imperialism is conducting this attack systematically;

1 – DECISION FROM THE U.S. STATE DEPARTMENT ABOUT LGBTI+:

THE LGBTI+ FLAG WAS ALSO HOISTED ALONGSIDE THE U.S. FLAG AT THE U.S. EMBASSY IN ANKARA AND THE U.S. CONSULATE IN ISTANBUL.

U.S. Secretary of State Antony Blinken signed a permit allowing the LGBTI+ flag to be flown alongside the U.S. flag at U.S. diplomatic mission buildings abroad. According to a report by Foreign Policy, a notice sent to U.S. embassies and consulates in various parts of the world authorized diplomats to raise the LGBTI+ flag, known as the “Pride Flag,” alongside the U.S. flag until May 17. The U.S. Embassy in Ankara and the U.S. Consulate General in Istanbul hung LGBTI+ flags.

2- AN ATTEMPT BY THE U.S. TO INTERVENE IN COUNTRIES MASKED AS LGBTI+

U.S. President Joe Biden signed a memorandum that includes intervening in the internal affairs of countries through LGBTI+. The new U.S. president, Joe Biden, went further and asked the relevant authorities to consider all diplomatic tools such as economic sanctions or visa restrictions against governments that violate LGBTI+ rights.

3- 22 MILLION DOLLARS FROM THE USA TO AN LGBTI+ ASSOCIATION

The National Endowment for Democracy (NED), known to be affiliated with the CIA though it appears to work as a civil society actor, finances associations such as LGBTI every year by receiving a budget from the U.S. Congress.

THE FUNDS OF THE U.S. COUNTER-ORGANIZATION NED GO TO HOMOSEXUAL ASSOCIATIONS IN TURKEY THAT DISREGARD THE FAMILY, WOMEN, AND CHILDREN.



“These funds are not limited to the USA alone. German foundations such as Friedrich Ebert and Heinrich Böll, the European Endowment for Democracy (EED), the Swedish state, and directly the funds distributed by the European Union have created in Turkey an NGO sector dependent on external resources.” Let us briefly touch upon the institutions of imperialism called non-governmental organizations (NGOs) which should not be underestimated. This is described in detail in the following book: Projects, Project-Making and Non-Governmental Organizations, Turkey Economic and Social History Foundation. As shown in the book with documents: NGOs form a giant army with 40,000 organizations worldwide, a budget of 1.1 trillion, and 19 million professional employees. Along with those who profit from it, it is a giant capitalist sector. The imperialists are not giving these vast sums of money for nothing. Therefore, NGOs are not LEFT. They are NATO left.

4- THE EU HAS TAKEN A DECISION FOR EDUCATION ON HOMOSEXUALITY IN SCHOOLS. IT APPLIES SANCTIONS TO EU COUNTRIES THAT OPPOSE IT.

5- A DECISION WAS TAKEN FOR EU-FUNDED LGBTI+ ORGANIZATIONS IN 20 STATE UNIVERSITIES.

Since 2021, in Ankara at 8 and in Istanbul at 12 state universities, activities have been carried out under the names UniKuir Association, known as the University Queer Studies and LGBTI+ Solidarity Association, and SPoD (Association for Social Policies, Gender Identity, and Sexual Orientation), which are EU-funded. These associations were supported by the EU with a grant of 136,000 euros within the scope of the “Mapping and Monitoring Project of Gender Equality in the Context of LGBTI+ Rights.” While the student youth have so many academic and democratic problems, it is not for nothing that the EU allocates hundreds of thousands of euros to LGBTI+. Even the few examples we have briefly conveyed here will make it clear that imperialism is conducting a systematic attack through LGBTI+.

LGBTI+ IS NOT LEFTIST; LGBTI+ IS NATO LEFT

It was primarily the AKP that paved the way for LGBTI+ organizations in Turkey! Although LGBTI+ organizations began organizing in our country from the mid-1990s, it was mainly after the AKP came to power in 2003 that their path was opened. After 2017, the AKP government also banned many activities of LGBTI+ people.

This is not a contradiction for AKP fascism. While opening the way for LGBTI+ organizations and allowing their activities, it used them as material for a mock-democracy game. Today, it uses them as material for domestic politics by saying “they corrupt society’s morality and family structure.” On the other hand, when it comes to creating a degenerate youth that thinks of nothing but sexuality and whose minds are numbed with drugs, the AKP is on the same line as the USA, the EU, and NATO.

IF LGBTI+ IS SUPPORTED BY NATO, WHY DOES THE AKP ATTACK LGBTI+ PEOPLE?

First reason;

Primarily to deceive the base it gets votes from. It tries to appear as if it is opposed. It tries to appear as the guardian of Islam and as anti-imperialist. It resorts to falseness to look as if it is protecting society’s values. It wants to say, if the AKP cannot win the election, other parties will open the way for LGBT people. Yet the AKP is not against degeneration. It is the organizer itself. For example, Süleyman Soylu, by saying “break the legs of drug dealers,” has fake anti-drug operations conducted.

The reality is that deaths from drugs increased hundreds of times during the AKP period. The AKP itself organizes drug sales. Photos of them with drug barons are published in abundance. Just as with drugs, the AKP’s attacks regarding LGBTI+ are merely for show. The period when prostitution became most widespread is again the AKP period.

Second reason;

It is the AKP’s crisis of being unable to govern. LGBTI+ people are funded by imperialism. AKP fascism cannot tolerate an organization outside its control, even if it is an NGO, because it is in a severe crisis of ungovernability. It sees LGBTI+ organizations, which take orders directly from imperialism, as a threat to its own power. It fears that imperialism will organize an opposition against the AKP. Therefore, it attacks any formation outside its control.

What is in this for the imperialists; States attack LGBTI+ people. And the imperialists exaggerate these attacks beyond what they are, showcasing them for days and trying to present LGBTI+ people as the most oppositional, the most left. They use all the propaganda weapons of their giant media monopolies.

Imperialism conducts propaganda for LGBTI+ as “the Left” all over the world. Collaborationist governments attack because they fear losing their own mass base. In other words, here the contradiction between imperialism and the AKP and other collaborationist governments in the world comes to the fore. Imperialism wants to establish absolute hegemony. Collaborationist governments want to maintain their own power; these collide. As a result of these attacks, they aim to present LGBTI+ people as left, as oppositional, and to cloud the minds of the peoples.

LGBTI+ IS BEING IMPOSED BY MILITARY FORCE

This is not called sexual freedom or sexual orientation. The number of soldiers in the armies of countries affiliated with NATO is 7,287,972. Despite more than seven million soldiers and massive weaponry, they are afraid. They are afraid of the peoples. That is why they attack with LGBTI+, that is why they funnel substantial amounts of money to LGBTI+ people.

UKRAINE IS NOT A NATO MEMBER, BUT IT IS A NAZI STATE THAT IMPLEMENTS NATO POLICIES.

Ukraine’s number of soldiers is 1,214,825. So, if we add Ukraine, the number of soldiers directed by NATO is 8,502,797. Eight million five hundred thousand! But it is still not enough. It is not enough for the American Empire. They want to seize the entire world. The United States, which commands such a large army, issues special decrees for LGBTI+ people. The danger of LGBTI+ for the peoples can be understood from here.

WE WANT TO REITERATE JUST TWO OF THE PREVIOUS NATO POLITICAL CONCEPT DECISIONS HERE. ISOLATION... WAS A NATO DECISION

FIRST DECISION:

In line with the decision taken by NATO’s “Anti-Terror Committee,” “We must urgently isolate and rehabilitate those with political connections in prisons. Either change of thought or death...” “All this was not independent of the decision taken in 1988 by NATO’s Anti-Terror Committee on ‘the isolation and rehabilitation of those with political connections in prisons.’”

NOT RESISTING IS A CRIME; THOSE WHO DO NOT RESIST ARE GUILTY

TWO NATO DECISIONS

SECOND DECISION:

At NATO's meeting in October 1997 titled "NATO and Security in the 21st Century, Real Vision," they MADE THE DETERMINATION THAT "THE 21st CENTURY WILL BE THE CENTURY OF UPRISINGS." While at the London Summit it was declared that "the Cold War has ended," plans were being made on how NATO would subdue countries where counter-revolutions had taken place and how the points of resistance against imperialism in the Middle East, the Balkans, and the Caucasus would be liquidated.

Those who claimed "Socialism is dead... farewell to the proletariat" were developing policies to implement NATO's decision taken in late 1988: "either change of thought or death!" And finally, at NATO's meeting in October 1997 titled "NATO and Security in the 21st Century, Real Vision," it was determined that "the 21st century will be the century of uprisings," and revolutionary organizations were shown as the greatest danger. We knew that socialism had not died and would not die, that the proletariat would not withdraw from the stage of history before completing its historical task. The bourgeoisie was aware that at the point where it did not take this reality into account, it would soon lose power. It did not take it into account... In the face of NATO decisions, in the face of the BOP (GREATER MIDDLE EAST PROJECT), our hunger strikes, especially our 2000–2007 Death Fast, became the greatest barricade.

WE WERE THE BARRICADE AGAINST THE GREATER MIDDLE EAST PROJECT ON THE LANDS OF ANATOLIA.

We resisted for seven years with 122 martyrs. Two siblings, mother, father, we resisted. Therefore, those who do not resist are guilty. Those who do not resist are also responsible for the 122 martyrs.

NOW WHAT IS THE 32ND CONCEPT DECISION?

It was announced at the 32nd NATO Summit that a new strategic concept had been adopted. In summary, the decisions taken: In Article 5 of NATO's 2022 Strategic Concept Document, it says, "We will continue to advance social gender as a reflection of our values."

AND WE REPEAT: JUST AS WE RESISTED AND WON AGAINST THE TWO NATO CONCEPT DECISIONS, WE WILL ALSO FIGHT AGAINST THIS. AS A RESULT; LGBTI+ ORGANIZATIONS ARE NOT LEFT.

LGBTI+ ORGANIZATIONS ARE ATTACK ORGANIZATIONS SUPPORTED BY NATO ARMIES. NATO IS THE FINANCIER, THE MASTER OF LGBTI+.

THE MEASURE OF BEING LEFTIST IS TO BE ANTI-IMPERIALIST, ANTI-FASCIST. BEING LEFT MEANS STRUGGLING FOR INDEPENDENCE, BREAD, JUSTICE, HOUSING, EDUCATION, HEALTH, THE RIGHT TO WORK.

LGBTI+ people nourished by imperialism are therefore NOT LEFT. To spread LGBTI+, the imperialists especially use the compromising, submissive left. We will not allow the submissive, collaborationist left to grow this decay.

THERE ARE TWO FUNDAMENTAL PILLARS OF THE FIGHT AGAINST FASCISM

1—Legitimacy

2—The Right to Resist

WE RESIST WITH THIS HISTORICAL AND POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE.

Against NATO leftism, Resistance and Legitimacy are a barricade and an offensive. They will not be able to destroy our people's values. They will not be able to erase the centuries-long history of uprisings of Anatolia. The honourable children of the Anatolian people have not allowed this until now and will not allow it henceforth. As long as the revolutionary movement exists, NATO leftism will not take root in the lands of Anatolia.

NATO'S LEFT WILL LOSE, WE WILL WIN!

AHMET KULAKSIZ (father of two sisters, Canan and Zehra Kulaksız who died on death fast resistance against isolation in prisons):

“The greatest resistance against NATO is the Death Fast, and I lost my two daughters, Canan and Zehra, in the death fast. Apart from the USA, NATO is the EU. They are the ones who financed F-Type [prisons]. To struggle against NATO is to be against imperialism. The greatest resistance against NATO is the Death Fast resistance.

We stand with Palestine, Iraq, and other oppressed peoples. By demonstrating this resistance, we must make Istanbul too small for NATO. If we cannot actively participate, Iraq and Palestine will experience even worse things. F-Type prisons are also their imposition. They are imposing the F-Type life on us. We, the democrats, must oppose the F-Types.”



THEIR GOALS WITH LGBTI ARE:

1. To alienate the human being from their own essence, from their own biological partner. To alienate human beings from the reproduction of their own lineage.
2. To corrupt the peoples of the world under the demagogy of sexual freedom.
3. To attack all the values that the peoples of the world have created for 5,000 years.
4. By leaving the peoples of the world unorganized, to rot them and detach them from struggle.
5. The weapon of People's War, which is “stronger than an atomic bomb,” is the people themselves.
6. To neutralize this weapon, the imperialists deploy drugs, prostitution, gambling, theft, and all kinds of sexual perversions. NATO is specifically concerned with this side of the war.

DECISION FROM THE U.S. STATE DEPARTMENT ON LGBTI+

It has been reported that U.S. Secretary of State Antony Blinken signed a permit allowing the LGBTI+ flag to be flown alongside the U.S. flag at U.S. diplomatic mission buildings abroad.

According to Foreign Policy's report; in the notice sent to U.S. embassies and consulates in various parts of the world, it was stated that Blinken authorized diplomats to raise the LGBTI+ flag, known as the "Pride Flag," alongside the U.S. flag until May 17.

With Blinken's decision, the political and financial source of LGBTI+ organization has also become clear. The U.S. Embassy in Ankara also hoisted the said flag, and this caused reactions. Again, the U.S. Consulate General in Istanbul hung a giant LGBTI+ flag.

NATO'S IDEOLOGUES POLICIES TO SUBJUGATE THE PEOPLES DETAILS ON THE COMMITTEE OF THE THREE'S REPORT ON NON-MILITARY COOPERATION IN NATO



WHO ARE THESE “THREE MEN”?

In 1956 NATO published a statement consisting of 103 articles. The “Three Wise Men” prepared a report article by article, writing out how they would subjugate the world and its peoples. They did not do this secretly; they said that if we want to rule the world, we must also have methods of rule. They said we must, without giving up military massacres, at the same time organize political capitulation.

It was also a method that would strengthen the organization’s military and strategic posture, which had become even more murderous and as a basic element of its new strategy at a time when the war against the people was escalating, would also strengthen NATO’s political role. The acceptance in 1956 of political consultations as an important component of the Alliance permanently opened the way for NATO’s growth in political and military influence. This report examined and redefined the Alliance’s goals and needs and ensured that decisions were taken to strengthen internal solidarity, harmony and unity.

In other words, it became a report that united the imperialist countries in the war against the peoples. That is, it was a report written to implement the policy of spreading political hegemony by deciding on cooperation in the economic, scientific and technical, cultural and informational fields, resolving disputes among imperialists by peaceful means.

The named “Three Wise Men” were: Canadian Foreign Minister Lester B. Pearson, Italian Foreign Minister Gaetano Martino, and Norwegian Foreign Minister Halvard Lange.

In 2016, at the opening of the Norwegian House in Brussels, NATO Secretary General Jens Stoltenberg said the following about the “Three Wise Men” Report, 60 years later:

Stoltenberg: “The Report of the Three Wise Men, prepared in December 1956 by the foreign ministers of Canada, Italy and Norway, paved the way for the Alliance we see today, and for a forum for political consultation and open discussion as well as a military meeting. The report set out a plan for greater political consultation within the Alliance as well as increased cultural, economic and scientific ties. It strengthened NATO’s political role and brought a more cooperative approach to security issues.”



Stoltenberg: “The report helped NATO transform from an almost entirely military alliance into a political–military alliance.”

The Three Wise Men recognized that Alliance unity is the backbone of NATO’s collective defence and deterrence, and that greater unity can only be achieved through increased political consultation and non–military cooperation. Stoltenberg: “Non–military cooperation can be as important to the security of a nation or an alliance as building a warship or equipping an army.”

Another point is that NATO’s main enemy at this time was seen as STALIN. Behind every article were the Soviets and Stalin; this fear was immense for imperialism, and therefore this was a report written to remove socialism as a hope and to bring about the surrender of minds. It is a report organized just three years after Stalin’s death in 1953.

WHY WAS THIS REPORT SO IMPORTANT FOR IMPERIALISM, AND WHY IS IT STILL SO IMPORTANT IN 2023, 67 YEARS LATER? WHY ARE STALIN AND SOCIALISM STILL SEEN AS A GREAT THREAT TO THIS DAY? THIS REPORT CONSISTS OF A TOTAL OF 103 ARTICLES AND 6 CHAPTERS—THE “THREE WISE MEN”.

THE THREE WISE MEN REPORT

THREE WISE MEN CHAPTER I: GENERAL INTRODUCTION

At its session in May 1956, the North Atlantic Council requested the Committee on Non-Military Cooperation to: “make recommendations to the Council on ways and means to improve and extend NATO cooperation in non-military fields and to develop greater unity within the Atlantic Community.”

The Committee interpreted this mandate as requiring: an examination and redefinition of the Alliance’s goals and needs, especially in light of current international developments; and recommendations to strengthen its internal solidarity, unity, and cohesion.

It hopes this will ensure better understanding in non-NATO countries and thus facilitate and encourage steps to reduce international tension. In recent months these tensions have increased, and since Stalin’s death, hopes have waned for finding a secure and honourable basis for competitive and ultimately cooperative coexistence with the Communist world. Nevertheless, efforts toward this goal must continue.

To summarize, NATO says: We made progress through armed struggle, but Stalin was a major obstacle, and we were in constant ideological warfare; after Stalin died, imperialism imagined it would bring hope for us. In short, they say we must remain steadfast in continuing the struggle against socialism.

The Treaty signed in Washington in 1949 was a terrorist organization founded against the fear of military attack by the USSR and its allies—NATO. In its own statements NATO says it was a response to this fear: “we had learned that a purely national response was insufficient for security,” in other words, the USA alone could not fight socialism and win, hence NATO was founded. NATO characterized the Soviets as possessing overwhelming power, citing the threat to Greece, the takeover of Czechoslovakia, the blockade of Berlin, and pressure on Yugoslavia.

The fundamental impulse behind NATO’s establishment was the fear of Socialism; see what the “THREE WISE MEN” theorized:

Even if the basic impulse behind NATO's founding was fear;

a) In a shrinking nuclear world, there was a conscious or instinctive realization that bringing the similar Atlantic and Western European countries into closer union for purposes beyond defence was wise and timely. The very aims: that pooling sovereignty partially for mutual protection should also foster general progress and cooperation.

b) Among governments and the peoples concerned there was a sense that this closer union was both natural and desirable; that common cultural traditions, free institutions and democratic concepts challenged and marked for destruction by those who challenged them should bring NATO nations closer together not only for their defence but also for their development. In short, in addition to the awareness of an immediate common danger, there was also a sense of an Atlantic Community.

c) Strengthening political consultation and economic cooperation, developing resources, progress in education and public understanding—all these may be as important, or even more important, for maintaining the security of a nation or an alliance as the CONSTRUCTION OF A WARSHIP OR THE EQUIPPING OF AN ARMY.

d) North Atlantic political and economic cooperation—let alone unity—will not be achieved in a day or with a declaration, but over years, through a series of national actions and policies that create habits, traditions, and precedents for such cooperation and unity. The process will at best be slow and gradual, slower than we might wish. We may be satisfied if it is steady and sure. But this will not happen unless member governments, especially the stronger ones are willing to work with and through NATO far more than they have to date, beyond the purposes of collective military defence.

Recently, however, NATO members have been examining and re-examining NATO's purposes and needs in light of certain changes in Soviet tactics and policies since Stalin's death and of Soviet influence. In the wake of this development, the turmoil now occurring in Eastern Europe continues.

e) NATO must recognize the true nature of the developments that have occurred. An important aspect of the new Soviet policies of competitive coexistence is the attempt to respond to the positive initiatives of the Western nations aimed at improving and establishing a just order for most economically less developed countries in an atmosphere of freedom and a system of mutually beneficial trade in which all countries can develop. It appears that the Soviet Union is changing direction toward policies designed to ensnare these countries through economic means and political subversion and to bind them with the same chains of communism that some members of the Soviet bloc are now trying to free themselves from. NATO members must be vigilant against such infiltrations.

This process has been facilitated by evidence that the Soviet Government understands that any such all-out attack would be met by a certain, swift, and devastating retaliation, and that in a war fought with nuclear weapons on both sides no victory can be won, BY EITHER SIDE. With the Soviet emphasis on non-military or paramilitary methods increasing, NATO must review its ability to deal effectively with the problem of penetration under the guise of coexistence, emphasizing conflict without catastrophe.

THE FIRST OF THESE OBJECTIVES—as noted earlier—IS SECURITY BASED ON COLLECTIVE ACTION WITH ADEQUATE ARMED FORCES FOR BOTH DETERRENCE AND DEFENCE.

It remains as important as in 1949. Soviet tactics may have changed; but Soviet armed power and ultimate objectives remain unchanged. Moreover, recent events in Eastern Europe show that the Soviet Union will in some cases not hesitate to use force and the threat of force.

f) Therefore, NATO's military power should not be reduced, but its character and capabilities should be continuously adapted to changing conditions. Strengthening NATO's political and economic dimension is an important complement that does not replace continued cooperation in defence.

If indeed they are designed to ease international tensions, we should welcome changes in Soviet policies.

But we must not forget that the weakening and eventual dissolution of NATO remains an important Communist objective. Therefore, we must remain on guard as long as Soviet leaders insist on maintaining the superiority of military force to achieve their political objectives and those of their allies.

But the problem goes deeper than this. NATO countries face a political as well as a military threat. This stems from the revolutionary doctrines of Communism, which for many years, by careful design of its leaders, have sown seeds of lies about our free and democratic way of life. The best answer to such lies is the constant demonstration of the superiority of our institutions to communist ones. We can show, in word and in deed, that we welcome political progress, economic progress, and orderly social change, and that the real reactionaries of our time are the Communist regimes that, by clinging to a rigid model of economic and political doctrine, are more successful at destroying them than at promoting them, and less free.

g) HOWEVER, WE MUST RECOGNIZE THAT LIES ABOUT OUR INSTITUTIONS ARE SOMETIMES ACCEPTED AS THEY APPEAR, AND THAT EVEN IN THE NON-COMMUNIST WORLD THERE ARE THOSE WHO, UNDER THE SYSTEMATIC INFLUENCE OF COMMUNIST PROPAGANDA, DO NOT ACCEPT OUR ANALYSIS OF NATO'S PURPOSES AND VALUES. THEY BELIEVE THAT NATO PLAYED A USEFUL DETERRENT ROLE DURING THE STALINIST PERIOD BUT IS NO LONGER NECESSARY EVEN FOR THE SECURITY OF ITS MEMBERS; THAT IT IS TENDING TO BECOME AN INSTITUTION WHICH, UNDER U.S. LEADERSHIP, BRINGS TOGETHER THE POWER AND RESOURCES OF "COLONIAL" POWERS TO DEFEND IMPERIAL PRIVILEGES, RACIAL SUPERIORITY, AND ATLANTIC HEGEMONY. THE FACT THAT THESE VIEWS ARE FALSE AND UNFOUNDED DOES NOT MEAN THAT NATO AND ITS GOVERNMENTS SHOULD NOT DO EVERYTHING IN THEIR POWER TO CORRECT AND COUNTER THEM.

h) We can prove our desire to cooperate fully with other members of the international community to realize the principles of the United Nations Charter. This can demonstrate not only that we are concerned with preventing the worsening of the cold war into a hot war, or with defending ourselves should a tragedy occur, but more importantly with seizing the political and moral initiative that will allow all countries to develop in freedom and bring secure peace to all nations.

Let us evaluate the First Chapter as Marxist–Leninists

The most salient points of the First Chapter are the articles we cited above. We would like to briefly evaluate the first chapter. What did NATO's "Three Wise Men," that is, the imperialists, want to say? We assess the main enemy as Soviet Socialism—the situation is not denied by what is written above.

First, we can gather the topics under these headings: Political, Military, Economic, Cultural, Education, Changing the people's understanding and emotions. What do they, the imperialists, say? Of course we need a warship, but there is something more effective than a warship, and that is the surrender of minds—hence the emphasis on understanding and emotion.

The imperialists say: The communists are attacking us with anti-propaganda against our understanding of solidarity and freedom and are trying to organize non-communist countries in this way. This is precisely the confession that, first one-sixth of the world and later one-third accepted the socialist system, which became imperialism's nightmare. For this reason, they say: Stalin is dead, but this ideology is still dangerous for us; we must deter from socialism not only militarily but also ideologically.

Yes, it is true—Stalin still lives, revolutionary beliefs still live, Marxist–Leninists live and fight; of course, the imperialists are afraid, and for this they set political policies and attack the peoples. Of course you must fear Stalin, because he chased, as it were, the Hitlers you supported, fed, and financed all the way to the gates of Berlin and bestowed victory upon the peoples of the world.

What the imperialists cannot stomach is precisely this: you lost; your projects, your summits, your concepts, your reports are nothing in the face of organized world peoples.

The imperialists are right in their fears: no thesis, no tank, cannon, or ideological assault can change the course of history, nor will it. Yes, the first chapter, the introduction, was devoted by the imperialists to the People's Leader Stalin and the Soviets; let us see in the second chapter whom and what the imperialists devote themselves to.

THREE WISE MEN Section II: POLITICAL COOPERATION

Foreign Policy Consultations

A. Scope and Nature of Political Consultation

The fundamental role of consultation in developing political cooperation was explicitly defined in 1951 by an earlier NATO North Atlantic Community Committee: “As clearly stated in Article 4 of the Treaty, special attention should be paid to ‘urgent’ situations in which close consultation among NATO members may be necessary on matters of immediate and direct concern to them and on national courses of conduct that affect them.”

Although all members of NATO have a responsibility to consult their partners on appropriate issues, a large share of the responsibility for such consultations must inevitably rest with the stronger members of the Society. On the assumption that this will and desire exist, the following principles and practices are proposed in the field of political consultation:

- a. Members should inform the Council of any development that significantly affects the Alliance. They should do this not merely as a formality but also as a preliminary to effective political consultation;
- b. Both member governments and the Secretary General should have the right to bring before the Council any matter of common interest to NATO that is not purely domestic in nature;
- c. A member government should not, without adequate prior consultation, adopt definitive policies or make major political statements on issues that significantly affect the Alliance or any of its members—unless circumstances clearly and manifestly make such prior consultation impossible;
- d. In developing their national policies, members should consider, in the Council’s consultations, the interests and views expressed by other governments—especially those most directly concerned—even when the Council has not reached a consensus or general agreement;

e. Points on which agreement is reached should be reflected in the formation of national policies. If, for national reasons, the consensus is not followed, the government concerned should submit an explanation to the Council. When a consensus has emerged from the Council's discussions and a formal recommendation has been issued, it is all the more important that governments give full weight to that recommendation in all national actions or policies related to its subject.

B. Annual Political Assessment

To prepare for this discussion, the Secretary General should submit an annual report:

- a. Analysing the principal political problems of the Alliance;
- b. Reviewing the extent to which member governments have consulted and cooperated on such problems;
- c. Indicating problems and possible developments that may require consultation in the future, so that difficulties can be resolved and positive, constructive initiatives can be undertaken.

C. Preparations for Political Consultation

- a. Peaceful Settlement of Disputes Among Members
- b. Parliamentary Associations and Parliamentary Conference

In short, in the second section the imperialists state this: for NATO, what matters is that countries take a clear, steady stance in closing ranks against the peoples. Contradictions among themselves should be resolved to the advantage of U.S. imperialism—this is what weighting according to the more powerful country means. While the imperialists resolve their own disputes by “peaceful” means, massacre and tears are deemed fit for the peoples.

THREE WISE MEN Section III: ECONOMIC COOPERATION

I. The common economic interests shared by NATO members require:

- a. Cooperative and national action to achieve sound and expanding economies, in order both to promote the prosperity and self-confidence of the Atlantic peoples and to serve as an essential support for an adequate defence effort;
- b. The greatest possible freedom in trade and payments, and in the movement of workforce and long-term capital;
- c. Assistance to economically less developed areas, out of enlightened self-interest and to foster better relations among peoples;
- d. And, under conditions of competitive coexistence, policies that demonstrate the superiority of free institutions in promoting human welfare and economic progress.

Recognition of these common interests of NATO and the collective and individual efforts to advance them need not in any way harm the close economic relations with non-member countries. Like political cooperation, economic cooperation is broader than NATO and should remain so. At the same time, NATO countries are also interested in any arrangements aimed at particularly close economic cooperation among groups of European member states. Such special arrangements should be capable of supporting, not contradicting the broader objectives of Article 2 of our Treaty, which are of fundamental importance for the stability and prosperity not only of the North Atlantic area but of the entire non-communist world.

II. NATO and Other Organizations

III. Conflicts in the Economic Policies of NATO Countries

IV. Scientific and Technical Cooperation

There is an especially urgent need to improve quality in the general field of science and technology and to increase the supply of scientists, engineers, and technicians. The recruitment, training, and employment of scientific and technical personnel is primarily a national rather than an international responsibility. Nor is it the responsibility of national governments alone.

In member states with federal systems, state and provincial governments play a significant role, and most universities and institutions of higher education in the Atlantic area are autonomous bodies, independent of detailed government control. At the same time, appropriately designed measures of international cooperation can encourage member countries individually to adopt more positive policies and, in some cases, help guide them in the most constructive directions.

In this context, some activities are already being conducted by other organizations. But progress in this area is so important to the future of the Atlantic Community that NATO members should ensure that every possibility for productive cooperation is explored. Therefore, as a first concrete step, it is recommended that a conference be convened—with one or at most two distinguished participants (private or governmental) from each country—in the following order:

- a. To exchange information and views on the most urgent problems in recruiting, training, and employing scientists, engineers, and technicians, and on the best long- and short-term ways of solving these problems;
- b. To develop closer relations among participants with a view to a continuing exchange of experience and the encouragement of constructive work in the member countries; and
- c. To propose specific measures for future international cooperation in this field through NATO or other international organizations.

V. Consultation on Economic Problems

It is recognized that the Atlantic Community has a positive concern with sound and accelerated development in economically less developed areas, both within and outside the NATO area. However, the Committee is of the opinion that NATO is not a suitable institution for administering development-aid programs or even for systematically concerning itself with the policies of member countries in this field. What member countries can and should do is to keep each other and NATO informed about their programs and policies in this area. Where necessary, NATO should review the compatibility of current actions with the interests of the Alliance.

The economic interests of the Atlantic Community cannot be considered separately from the activities and policies of the Soviet bloc. The Soviets frequently resort to economic measures designed to weaken the Western Alliance or to create a high degree of dependence on the Soviet world in other areas.

An Economic Advisers Committee should be established within the Council. This group should be charged, on a systematic basis, with the preliminary discussion of the matters outlined above, as well as tasks assigned by the Council or approved by the Council at the Committee's request. It will assume all the ongoing functions of the Technical Advisers Committee.

Economically, imperialism forges unity and sets principles and rules to condemn the world's peoples to deeper poverty. Within the "economic agreement," it organizes policies to exploit all labour—from engineers, doctors, miners, factory workers, to every worker. The development of imperialism means poverty and exploitation for the peoples.

A handful of imperialist parasites ruling the world, NATO calls this economic cooperation. To legitimize the massacres in the exploitation of labour by a handful of imperialist parasites, NATO calls this economic cooperation. For the plunder of poor countries and the theft of their underground and aboveground resources by a handful of imperialist parasites, NATO calls this economic cooperation.

The wise men of NATO fear Stalin; we would like to explain why with a quote from the Stalin period. Stalin also proclaimed the victory of socialist countries economically.

The Stalin era was a period in which the USSR achieved tremendous industrial development in a short time and became one of the world's largest industries. In 1928, Stalin stated that the New Economic Policy was no longer necessary and that the level of industrial development of modern capitalist countries had to be rapidly caught up in order to transition to communism, and his ideas were accepted. As a result, a decisive transition to a planned economy was made, agriculture was collectivized, and five-year development plans starting in 1928 were implemented. These plans were based on mobilizing the country's resources for rapid industrial development.

Stalin unites mental and manual labour; imperialism weakens workers by classifying them and turns them into slaves of its order. Whereas Stalin made the economy invincible by believing in the creativity and labour of the workers to raise the economy.

Stalin wrote:

“Some believe that abolishing the contradiction between mental and manual labour can be achieved by lowering the cultural and technical level of engineers and technicians, of mental workers, to that of average manual workers; or by a certain equalization of engineers and technicians—mental workers—in cultural and technical respects. This is entirely wrong. The Stakhanovites...are technically equipped, cultured people, who are models of perfection and punctuality at work, who have learned to measure time not only in minutes, but indeed even in seconds... They do not have the conservatism and immobility of some engineers, technicians, and managers; they advance boldly, break obsolete technical norms... correct the planned labour productivity and economic plans...”

(An expression taken from Soviet miner Alexei Stakhanov, used in the sense of “exceeding the predetermined production norm.”)

**TO SUSTAIN THE REIGN OF IMPERIALISM, THEY SAY
“ECONOMIC AGREEMENT, ECONOMIC COOPERATION.”
WE SAY: COOPERATION IN EXPLOITATION, PLUNDER,
POVERTY, AND MASSACRE.**

The difference between a socialist economy and a capitalist economy:

The aim of capitalist production is neither to meet the needs of the masses nor even to provide the luxuries of the owning classes. Its sole aim is profit. A socialist economy is driven by needs: the people’s needs, basic necessities, the country’s needs, etc. In the capitalist economy everything is for MORE PROFIT; in the socialist economy everything is FOR HUMAN BEINGS.



THREE WISE MEN Section IV: CULTURAL COOPERATION

The sense of society must bind the peoples of the Atlantic nations as well as their institutions. This will exist only to the extent that the value of their common cultural heritage and of their free ways of life and thought is recognized. Therefore, it is important that the NATO countries promote cultural cooperation among their peoples by every practical means, to strengthen their unity and ensure maximum support for the Alliance.

The Committee recommends that member governments be guided by the following general principles to advance cultural cooperation:

- a. Government activities in this field should not duplicate but should support and complement private efforts;
- b. Member governments should give priority to projects that require NATO joint action and thus contribute to the development of a sense of community;
- c. In developing new activities in the cultural field, NATO can most profitably emphasize the encouragement and promotion of transatlantic contacts;
- d. The financial implications of cultural projects must be realistically assessed.

NATO and member governments should expand their support for educational and related activities such as the NATO Scholarships and Fellowships Program; the establishment of university chairs in Atlantic studies; visiting professorships; government-supported programs for the exchange of persons, especially on a transatlantic basis; the use of NATO informational materials in schools; and the awarding of special NATO prizes to students.

Governments should actively encourage relations between NATO and youth organizations, and in this context a specialist should be added to the International Staff. From time to time, under NATO auspices, conferences should be organized with the participation of representatives of youth organizations, as in July 1956.

Cultural projects that provide common benefit should be jointly financed. "The Role of the School in the Atlantic Community" and similar cultural projects should receive financial support.

IMPERIALISM ESTABLISHES IDEOLOGICAL AND CULTURAL DOMINATION OVER THE PEOPLES OF THE WORLD TO SUSTAIN THE SYSTEM OF EXPLOITATION

To sustain its rule, imperialism attacks through many methods in the fields of culture and education, but five stand out for us:

1. Coercive imposition.
2. Inducing voluntary consent.
3. Through occupation.
4. Fulbright education agreements.
5. Changing our culture, attacking our values through television, media, music, and the internet.

Even if a system is imposed by force, for it to be durable it must be adopted ideologically and culturally. Cultural domination is the most important pillar for sustaining the imperialist system of exploitation. Both coercive imposition and voluntary consent are essentially achieved through ideological and cultural domination. With Fulbright agreements, from kindergarten to university, our minds are taken captive to make us adopt the culture and education of imperialism.

If we define culture in the broadest sense: “It is the sum of a society’s or community’s accumulation of products in science, art, morality, and education together with its feelings, thoughts, rules, and values.” In our daily lives, in every activity we conduct, there are the values, principles, rules, feelings, and ways of thinking of a culture. In other words, every behaviour, every word, every action inevitably reflects a culture.

The imposition of its own culture (by force and by consent) by imperialism manifests itself in several ways as it captures people’s minds. If we consider coercive imposition: imperialism forces its culture to expand its own exploitation and protect its own interests.

The state of Israel established on Palestinian land is the greatest example of the coercive impositions of imperialism. The Palestinian people have been forced to hide their identities on their own land. Or, during Israel’s founding phase, the killing of Jews was likewise the result of these same policies. The founding of the United States by imperialist masters is also evidence of its massacres. They seized the lands of the Native Americans, annihilated them, and settled on the people’s lands.

The present imperialist countries that turned South America and many other countries into colonies shed the peoples' blood while giving lectures on "civilization" and massacred us, the peoples.

The imperialists who traded slaves, who enacted Apartheid laws, who occupy the lands where Indigenous rights persist, who rain bombs from planes—and we could add dozens, hundreds of such examples.

At the head of this stands NATO, that is, U.S. imperialism.

As it can be seen in these examples, the forcible imposition of its culture to perpetuate its system has been extremely bloody. But over time imperialism changed its methods according to its needs and insidiously imposed its own ideology on peoples through bloodless means.

It has resorted to many tools and methods to corrupt peoples culturally. Countries have been entered with McDonald's, Coca-Cola, advertising, films, technological products, and media. We feel the sting of this in our own country: people in the streets and on buses who never put down their phones, who walk around with earphones, indifferent to their surroundings, who don't even intervene when someone dies beside them—this is a result of the rotten capitalist culture. It cannot be expected that such people will continue their own culture and traditions or be sensitive to the people's problems. The media, in particular, is a highly effective tool for imperialism. In this way imperialism easily conducts its own ideological propaganda. It makes the people adopt its own wrongs and rottenness as right. Because people who spend a significant part of their day on the internet and in front of the television inevitably end up influenced by this ideological propaganda.

But on Anatolian soil there are Revolutionaries—who, at the cost of their lives, defend and fight to prevent the corruption of the culture of the Anatolian peoples. For this reason, Anatolia has become a nightmare for imperialism.

There are the Braves of our lands—those whose history is written in blood; they know imperialism and imperialists know them well. The culture, art, sport, theatre, cinema, and education that carry socialist values are for and belong to the people.

If imperialism fears Stalin so much, we would again like to illustrate the reason through examples from Stalin and the Soviets:

At the outset, Soviet authorities attached immense importance to the elimination of illiteracy. Those who could read and write were made teachers directly. For a short time, some compromise in quality was accepted. In 1940, Joseph Stalin declared that illiteracy had been eliminated. After the Great Patriotic War, the country's education system expanded significantly. This expansion had a major impact. In the 1960s, almost all Soviet children—except those living in very remote areas—had access to education. To make education more accessible, efforts were made to tie it closely to the needs of society. Education also played a significant role in creating the “New Soviet person.”

The country's education system was highly centralized, universally accessible to all citizens, and affirmative action was applied for candidates from peoples associated with cultural backwardness. Constitutionally, citizens had the direct right to participate in the workforce and to free vocational training.

THREE WISE MEN SECTION V: Cooperation in the Field of Information

This is especially important with regard to spreading information about NATO to other countries. A coordinated policy should emphasize the defensive character of our Alliance and the importance of its non-military aspects. It should also include responses to anti-NATO propaganda and analyses of communist movements and statements that affect NATO.

To facilitate cooperation between the NATO Information Division and national information services, the following specific measures are recommended:

- a. Each national information service should appoint an Officer to maintain liaison with NATO and to be responsible for the distribution of NATO information materials;
- b. Governments should submit the relevant information programs they plan to implement to NATO for discussion in the Committee on Information and Cultural Relations. Representatives of national information services should participate in these discussions;

c. Within the budget of the NATO Information Division, provision should be made for a translation fund to enable NATO information materials to be translated into the unofficial languages of the Alliance, in line with the reasonable needs of member governments;

d. Upon request, NATO should provide national services with special studies on matters of common interest.

NATO-sponsored journalist tours should be expanded to include others in positions to influence public opinion, such as trade and youth leaders, teachers, and lecturers. Closer relations should also be encouraged between private organizations that support NATO and the NATO Information Division.

THREE WISE MEN SECTION VI: Organization and Functions

A.Council Meetings

More time should be allotted to Ministers' Meetings; therefore, there should be no strict or formal line between meetings at ministerial level and other meetings of the Council. Strengthening Ties Between the Council and Member Governments.

Since the unity and strength of NATO in achieving these objectives continues to be essential for sustained cooperation in military and non-military fields. North Atlantic Council: Resolution on the Report of the Three-Power Committee on Non-Military Cooperation in NATO

Taking into account that at its meeting in Paris on 5 May, the North Atlantic Council established a Committee composed of the Foreign Ministers of Italy, Canada, and Norway to advise the Council on ways and means of developing and extending NATO's cooperation in non-military fields and of developing greater unity within the Atlantic Community;

The Three-Power Committee has reported on the task entrusted to it and has presented to the Council a series of recommendations on ways and means of developing and extending NATO's cooperation in non-military fields.

The North Atlantic Council:

Takes note of the Report of the Three–Power Committee; and approves its recommendations; and invites the Council, in light of Governments’ comments, to implement the principles and recommendations contained in the Report; and invites the Secretary General to prepare, for consideration by the Council, any further specific proposals that may be necessary for the implementation of these recommendations, and to report periodically on governments’ compliance with them. It authorizes the Three–Power Committee to publish its report.

NATO’s representatives in different fields include: the Organisation for European Cooperation and Development (OECD) (which includes all NATO countries and four other countries); the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT); the International Monetary Fund (IMF); the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD); the International Finance Corporation (IFC); and various other United Nations bodies including the Economic Commission for Europe. Many NATO members participate actively in the Colombo Plan to promote economic development in Asia. Most members play an active role in technical assistance programs and also take part in discussions of proposals for the establishment of the United Nations Special Fund for Economic Development (SUNFED).

OUR LAST WORD—LET IT BE FROM THE PEOPLES OF THE WORLD!

The war between the imperialists and the peoples of the world continues politically, militarily, economically, and culturally. There are many methods and institutions through which the imperialists attack the peoples; in this book we wrote about NATO, which leads both ideologically and militarily in the massacres.

In this war, the blood of millions of our people has been shed; dozens of countries have been occupied; our people have been subjected to rape; our people have buried their children. Our people are condemned to poverty and made to believe this is fate.

By occupying our minds, imperialism continues to exploit our feelings by changing our understanding and values.

At the head of these massacres stand U.S. imperialism and NATO, this is known to the peoples of the world, because it is we who die, we who are exploited, our country that is plundered by imperialism. For this reason, we authored this book taking sides with the people, as part of our anti-imperialist struggle in our country.

By exposing the policies of imperialism in this book, we have once again declared that we have never been—and will never be—without a policy in the face of them.

In a world where everyone has come to implement NATO's policies, we have not taken a single step back from our position.

In a world where the left is “civil-society-ist” and a part of LGBTQI+ policies, we will continue to explain, again and again, that this is nothing but imperialist policy.

At times when everyone says a “third way,” that it's possible to live together with imperialism, we declare that as long as imperialism exists, the freedom of the peoples cannot be realized—because imperialism is a form of rule that feeds on the blood and labour of the peoples.

Look at what former CIA Director Allen Dulles confessed: “The aim is to win the war in the human mind. The first front of this war is to ensure mass intimidation through propaganda, depoliticization, and censorship. The second front will be won in the human brain. The goal is brainwashing, changing ideology, and, when necessary, creating many Manchurian test subjects.”

(“Manchurian Candidate” was a Cold War term used to mean sleeper agents.)

Imperialism openly declares this war and states its methods of taking the people captive.

We say: we know all of imperialism's policies, and in this war the peoples will win. Because the socialist mode of production is not based on exploitation; it is based on a collective and planned way of life. The people produce by internalizing the collective mode of production. They come to understand working for themselves and for the whole of society. There is no exploitation; it is not an imperialist ideology that exploits and crushes the other, but an ideology of working together and helping the person beside you. The basis of distribution is the absence of exploitation.

Everyone produces, and what is produced is shared fairly. There is creativity in production and a socialist kind of competition. Those who fall behind are helped. People move forward not for their individual interests, but for the good of society as a whole because a person's individual interest is not independent of society's interest. The socialist person is conscious of this.

The individualism, selfishness, and self-interest created by capitalism, or that it seeks to create, do not take root in socialist social relations. The Communist Sundays in the USSR and many other countries clearly show the socialist person's outlook: workers would, completely voluntarily, work an extra five hours on Sundays each week for the country's development. Undoubtedly, socially conscious socialist workers led this voluntarism.

It is thanks to the value socialism places on the human being that a person finds their true self and realizes they have worth within society. In one interview, Fidel Castro expressed what the revolution gave the Cuban people in this way: "Fidel! For what do you owe the Revolution? Because it paid your rent, or because it gave you a job? What do you owe the Revolution most? The wealth it gave you, or the moral riches? I'm sure many people—perhaps the great majority—would answer: THE FIRST REASON I OWE MOST TO THE REVOLUTION, AND FOR WHICH I AM READY TO GIVE MY LIFE, IS THAT THANKS TO THE REVOLUTION I FEEL THAT I AM A HUMAN BEING. That I understand I am one of my people, one of my homeland. None of this would have even crossed my mind before!"

Thanks to the value socialism gives to people and the opportunities it creates for their development; it creates a society with a remarkably high civic consciousness and patriotism—one that constantly develops itself physically and culturally. A much-cited example is how, in the Second Imperialist Repartition War, the Soviet people fought the German fascists like a single body; the people not at the front carried everything they had to the soldiers at the front, enduring hunger—even death by starvation. "In parks, streets, buses—in short, everywhere—you see people reading. Even taxi drivers read books while waiting for customers. Reading has become such a passion that young women collect their trousseau in a chest of books." Such is the difference between the human personality created by socialism and the type of human imperialism seeks to create.

As our book draws to a close, we say: neither NATO's MILITARY nor its ideological attacks have any power before an organized people.

**NEITHER YOUR TRILLIONS OF DOLLARS,
NOR YOUR SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGICAL WEAPONS,
NOR YOUR “WISE MEN,”
CAN STOP THE PEOPLE’S STRUGGLE.
VICTORY WILL BELONG TO THE RESISTING PEOPLES OF THE WORLD.
Down with MURDERER USA!
Down with MURDERER NATO!
EITHER A FREE HOMELAND OR DEATH!
LONG LIVE OUR STRUGGLE!**